

Appl. No. : **10/065,327**
Filed : **October 3, 2002**

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

Please replace Figure 4 with the attached Replacement Sheet.

Appl. No. : 10/065,327
Filed : October 3, 2002

REMARKS**Remarks**

Reconsideration and allowance of the above referenced application are respectfully requested.

Applicant here with confirms the election of the group 1 claims 1-18 and 41-52.

A proposed drawing change to figure 4 is submitted herewith to obviate the points noted in the drawing objection.

The disclosure is objected to based on typographical errors. The typographical errors noted in paragraph 3 in the abstract are corrected herewith.

Claim 1 stands objected to as allegedly lacking antecedent in line 6 which is corrected herein. Claims 7, 10, 42 and 45 have been analogously corrected.

Claims 6, 8, 48 and 49 have been amended to obviate the rejection under section 112, by adding proper antecedent.

Claims 1-18 and 41-52 stand rejected as allegedly being unpatentable over '183. Basically, the rejection considers that the actions which are carried out one after another in '183 may be considered as the claimed sequence of actions. As explained on page 6, the Internet site displays a plurality of links that the user sequentially executes.

Claims 1 and 41 have been amended to emphasize, even further, that the single actuation causes a restored sequence of actions to be carried out based only on that SINGLE actuation. This obviates the interpretation which allowed the claims to be rejected based on '183. Therefore, each of these claims should be allowable for these reasons.

Appl. No. : 10/065,327
Filed : October 3, 2002


It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed in this paper. However, failure to address a specific rejection, issue or comment, does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above are not intended to be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

For all of these reasons, it is respectfully suggested that all of the claims should be in condition for allowance. A formal notice of allowance is hence respectfully requested.

Please charge any fees due in connection with this response to Deposit Account No. 50-1387.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10/26/05



Scott C. Harris
Reg. No. 32,030

Customer No. 23844
Scott C. Harris, Esq.
P.O. Box 927649
San Diego, CA 92192
Telephone: (619) 823-7778
Facsimile: (858) 678-5082